

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/911,023	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LECTION ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jeffrey D. Popham	<b>Art Unit</b> 2137	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Jeffrey D. Popham.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Gregory Bernabeo.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 July 2005
**Time:** 3:15
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was discussed and agreed upon to use an examiner's amendment to make some minor changes to the claims so that they would be allowable (adding "computer-implemented" before method in claims 4 and 19).*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)